UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,342	11/01/2007	Alexander Kreiter	P06,0251	9789
26574 SCHIFF HARD	7590 09/02/201 DIN, LLP	EXAMINER		
PATENT DEPARTMENT			BEATTY, ROBERT B	
233 S. Wacker Drive-Suite 6600 CHICAGO, IL 60606-6473			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2852	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/02/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/588,342	KREITER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert B. Beatty	2852				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23</u> .	June 2010.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>50-62,72,75-86 and 96</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>50-60,72,75-84 and 96</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>61,62,85 and 86</u> is/are objected to.	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>61,62,85 and 86</u> is/are objected to.					
8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on $8.2.06$ is/are: a) \square ac	cepted or b) $igtie$ objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/2/2006</u> . 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/588,342 Page 2

Art Unit: 2852

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 50-62,72,75-86,96 in the reply filed on 6/23/2010 is acknowledged.

2. New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application because they are informal in nature (handwriting in all the figures is unclear and some is illegible). Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 55,56,58,72,80,82 and 96 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 55 and 80, line 2, the transfer belt "moved forward of the transport path" is unclear.

In claim 56, line 2, change "areal" to --area--

In claims 58 and 82, line 3, "inking level" is not understood since the apparatus uses toner not ink.

Claims 72 and 96 depend from a cancelled claim.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 50-53,55,72,75-78,80,96 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tungate, Jr. et al. (6,792,218).

Tungate, Jr. et al. teach an image forming apparatus having a plurality of image stations each having a developer station 20 confronting an image carrier 22, charging devices, imaging devices and cleaning devices (no reference numerals) and an intermediate transfer belt (ITM) 40 for receiving a developed image from the image carriers and transferring it to a recording medium (see Fig.1). A controller will determined the consumption of toner A (toner discharge from developing device) such as number of request to supply toner, number of turns of a toner supply auger or other toner supply mechanism (col.7, lines 5-10) and the controller will determine a mechanical activity B of the image forming apparatus such as number of ITM or image carrier revolutions. If the ratio of A:B is too low, a regeneration process will ensue which entails forming a developed image pattern on the image carrier and removing it by the image carrier cleaning device or if transferred to the ITM, to remove it with the ITM cleaning device. See col.5, lines 6-55).

Application/Control Number: 10/588,342

Art Unit: 2852

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 4

5. Claims 59-60,83-84 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tungate, Jr. et al. (6,792,218) in view of Ogasawara et al. (5,168,293).

Tungate, Jr. et al. teach everything claimed except performing a regeneration process at the beginning of a print operation in a preparation mode in which entails activating and powering up the developing station. Ogasawara et al. teach an image forming apparatus which forms a "dummy" print at specified intervals which entails forming a developed patterned toner image on an image carrier and not transferring it to a recording sheet but cleaning it off the image carrier with a cleaning device (col.5, lines 62-68). The "dummy" print is applicant's regeneration process which can occur at warm up of the printer (col.6, lines 3-11). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that a warm up period is considered a "preparation mode" and that all devices including the developing device are activated and powered up so as to commence with regular print operations and that by doing so would eliminate deteriorated toner in the developing device before a number of print operations will start.

6. Claims 54,56-58,79,81-82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tungate, Jr. et al. (6,792,218) in view of Nonaka et al. (6,766,121).

Application/Control Number: 10/588,342

Art Unit: 2852

Tungate, Jr. et al. teach everything claimed except the developed pattern image during the regeneration is transferred to the ITM at 75 - 100 %, that the toner coverage of the pattern is 10-50%, and the regeneration criteria is based on pixel count. Nonaka et al. teach an image forming apparatus having a regeneration process in which a pattern image is developed and not transferred to a recording sheet but cleaned by an image carrier cleaning device (col.13, lines 21-26; col.14, lines 56-67). The criteria as to whether a regeneration process is commenced is by counting the number of pixels which have been printed (col.15, line 46 - col.16, line 36). The toner coverage of the pattern produced during the regeneration process is 50% or 100% (col.17, lines 1-14). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the number of pixels printed as a criteria as to whether to start a regeneration process because this would be directly related to the amount of toner consumed since each pixel is covered by a predetermined amount of toner. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a high density pattern when performing the regeneration process because the object of the regeneration process is to consume deteriorated toner and if more deteriorated toner is consumed than the image quality will be improved. Finally, the examiner takes Official Notice that not all transferred image are 100% transferred (i.e. some toner remains on the image carrier which is cleaned by a cleaning device) since these systems do not work perfectly and therefore the transfer rate would be a little less than 100%.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/588,342 Page 6

Art Unit: 2852

7. Claims 61-62, 85-86 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Adachi teach another regenerating process for an image forming apparatus.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Beatty whose telephone number is (571) 272-2130. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Gray, can be reached on (571) 272-2119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/588,342

Page 7

Art Unit: 2852

/Robert Beatty/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2852 August 31, 2010